



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,689	C	06/25/2001	Phillip E. Byrd	4715US (00-1057)	1027
24247	7590	10/09/2003		EXAM	INER
TRASK B			CHANG, RIG	CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3729	

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. A		<u> </u>				
*	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/888,689	BYRD, PHILLIP E.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Rick K. Chang	3729				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondenc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of tr will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 J</u>	luly 2003	•				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		atters prosecution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) $1-55$ is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-55 is/are withdrawr	from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. '					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	amıner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		<del></del>				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has	been received.				
Attachment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/888,689

Art Unit: 3729

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bierig (US 4,089,734) in view of Rostoker et al (US 5,838,163).

Bierig discloses providing a substrate (16), disposing many traces (Fig. 4A), providing many probe elements (41 and 45), providing many fuse elements (44a...44e) in respective electrical communication with substantially each of the plurality of conductive traces (via 42), and Figs. 3A-3C shows fuse elements (39) are formed using a deposition process, as well as configured as shown in Fig. 3C to be repairable.

Bierig fails to disclose at least some of the plurality of fuse elements comprising at least an active fuse element.

Rostoker discloses at least some of the plurality of fuse elements comprising at least an active fuse element (col. 23, lines 62-63).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bierig by providing at least some of the plurality of fuse elements comprising at least an active fuse element, as taught by Rostoker, for the purpose of stop conducting electric currents at a certain level without melting or vaporizing the fuse.

Application/Control Number: 09/888,689

Art Unit: 3729

NOTE: Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity. Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bierig (US 4,089,734)/Rostoker et al (US 5,838,163) as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Maruyama et al (US 5,832,595).

Bierig/Rostoker fail to disclose forming the fuse elements from copper.

Maruyama discloses forming the fuse elements from copper (Fig. 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bierig/Rostoker by forming the fuse elements from copper, as taught by Maruyama, for the purpose of saving production cost by using readily available and cheap material with good electrical conductivity characteristic.

NOTE: Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity. Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office

Application/Control Number: 09/888,689 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

NOTE: Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity. Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

### Interviews After Final

5. Applicant note that an interview after a final rejection will not be granted unless the intended purpose and content of the interview is presented briefly, in writing (the agenda of the interview must be in writing) to clarify issues for appeal requiring only nominal further consideration. <u>Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations will be denied</u>. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3729

المحارث

- 6. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity. Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (703) 308-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 09/888,689

Art Unit: 3729

Page 6

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

RC September 8, 2003